CANDOUR The British Views-Letter

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Vol XLIV Nos 2/3 *Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper* February/March 1992

The A.B.C. of Politics

JUSTICE AND PEACE?

by ROSINE de BOUNEVIALLE

NO one nowadays has to be a "believer", as they say, or a committed church-goer to become aware that in the very realm that used to be God's own domain, the conception of God in His Three Persons - Father, Son and Holy Ghost reigning supreme over heaven and earth and in the hearts of those that love Him - has given place to that of Man. Man, the self-made apogee of the process of evolution which continuing over uncounted millenia has transformed him/herself from a lump of almost inert jelly, a prisoner in the primeval slime, into the complicated master-ms-piece of natural, scientific enterprise we see today. Man is "walking tall", ruler of the earth and ready, in the politicians' phrase, to establish the New World Order which will, in the church-man's phrase, result in an equally new era of justice and peace.

Autocracy of Man-Made God

This optimistic forecast accompanying the proclamation, emanating from who knows exactly whom of a decade of evangelism, has been greeted and repeated with fervent appeals for action by spokesmen and ministers, priests and preachers of variegated religious sects all round the world, and not least by the supreme head of what until quite recently was universally known as the Catholic Church, adamantly claiming to be the one true church founded by Jesus Christ, the Word of God made flesh, who came, in the words of Wisdom 18 (14-15) quoted in the Introit of the Mass said on the Sunday within the octave of Christmas: "While all things were in quiet silence and the night in the midst of her course, Thy Almighty Word, O Lord, leaped down from heaven from Thy royal throne."

So how has it happened that the Church founded by God-made-Man has become a front runner in the cause of the autocracy of Man-made-God? Any readers familiar with the tale of the age-long machinations of the Money Power in politics will have little difficulty in recognising on the religious platform the same plot, type-casting and direction as those which hypnotised the captive audience applauding the perform-ances that presaged on the world stage destruction of all it held dear.

Consider the example of Great Britain and the British Empire as they appeared in the last act. The whole body politic was riddled with usurers eating away like worms in a rotting corpse, but to the courageous men and women who lived, worked, fought, taught and made those alien lands their home, the "body" still looked almost as good as new. It is said that only in war or under the threat of war will people consent to give up their freedom, but it appears that more than the threat or even war itself is necessary to persuade people to

relinquish their own freedom to benefit some alien characters whom they have no particular reason to love - rather the opposite. So the victims must be made to put themselves in the trap.

The manoeuvres performed to this end between the years 1919 and 1939 resembled nothing so much as the con-trick called Find The Lady, usually to be seen performed in the sleezier parts of fair grounds. Friends and foes, allies and enemies changed sides and positions with such bewildering rapidity that one never knew from one day to the next what thimble The Lady was under. The world audience emerged in the dark, having seen two monsters called Stalin and Hitler put under the spotlights while two more waited in the wings. Two knights in shining armour had star parts: Franklin D. Roosevelt across the Atlantic, proclaiming the inviolability of American neutrality whilst in conspiracy with Winston Churchill "in the wilderness" in England, goading "the under-study" Neville Chamberlain to bring down the curtain on the first scene with the declaration that would change the theme from peace to war. And so he did. And we all gave up our freedom. Victors and vanquished alike were in seemingly irredeemable hock to the usurers whose "interest" demands are seldom confined to mere money which they can make for themselves anyway.

Church Follows Empires In Its Fate

Now we are all captivated by an adapted version of the same play - or should it be ploy? The potentates in shining armour and the kings with hollow crowns have been re-cast as prelates with triple hollow crowns above their "civvy" clad ministers. It took a long time with many hiccoughs on the way, but at last the One True Church is in as parlous condition today as the European empires were fifty years ago. We could still save it, but not if we fail to recognise the face of our enemy behind the masks of Pacelli/Churchill, Spellman/Roosevelt, Montini/Macmillan, Wojtyla/Thatcher, etc. Sort them out for yourselves! Even the "safety valve" is cloned by Cardinal Ratzinger.

When a play is as successful as this first one described above, it becomes a classic to be revived and adapted to fit the contemporary circumstances. But... "Those who have ears to hear, let them listen."

"Who made you?" "God made me."
"Why did God make you?" "He made me to know love and serve Him in this world and to be happy with Him in the next."

That way alone leads to justice and peace so conspicuously lacking in the domains bemused by the ideals of liberation theology and rendered chaotic by the seeking first the Republic of Man. It is enough to make the Devil laugh.

If the parallels in the foregoing sound fantastic, and even if they do not, the proofs and details of this maze of betrayal are available in a remarkable book whose author, Mary Ball Martinez, was Vatican correspondent for **The Wanderer, National Review** and **American Spectator** for fifteen years. Her revelations are as shocking as were A.K. Chesterton's in his **New Unhappy Lords** when that first appeared. It is an indisputable "first", obtainable from Mary Ball Martinez, Amsterdam 99-501, Mexico DF 06100, Mexico. Price $12.50 plus postage of $2.50 to Europe and a further $2.50 to other continents. It will be available soon from Candour Publishing Company.

L E S T W E F O R G E T

A new writer, RADEX, offers some timely reminders of the truths we should seek behind the news

INSANITY FAIR 1961

THE release of the Cabinet papers for 1961 under the thirty years rule confirms what A.K. Chesterton said and knew at the time. They reveal a government of fools and incompetents unfit to manage a corner shop. It is an appalling record. They include an account of an (almost) incredible plan to send British forces to Algeria to kill patriotic Frenchmen.

In 1961 General de Gaulle's sell-out of French Algeria (what Macmillan called "the wind of change", although the originator of the term and its accompanying sentiments was Douglas-Home) appeared to be encountering major difficulties. His plan to hand French Algeria over to the Algerian communists met with spirited resistance from the "Secret Army", the O.A.S. Senior French Army officers in Algeria, led by General Challe, seized power in a heroic but unsuccessful operation.

The Cabinet records reveal that the British Government prepared contingency plans to send British forces in support of de Gaulle's treason. Lord Home, the Foreign Secretary, told the Cabinet that the French Government was in a difficult position "since neither the police nor the army in France were fully reliable". Of course they were not reliable. They objected to treason and betrayal.

At an early stage in the patriotic rebellion it looked possible that General Challe might succeed. The British Government, not content with dismantling the British Empire, wanted to destroy the French as well, and would have shed British lives to accomplish it. A successful patriotic rebellion was clearly too ghastly to contemplate. It might have set an example for Kenya!

BRITAIN'S GRAVEYARD

GENERAL de Gaulle was also busy at the time trying to keep Britain out of what was then known as the "Common Market". Despicable as were de Gaulle's actions in Algeria, he yet had more sense than the British Government on the matter of Europe.

President Kennedy, pursuing the consistent policies of the American one-worlders, wanted Britain in the Common Market and the consequent destruction of what remained of the British Empire and its Imperial Preference trading system. Kennedy went to Paris to press de Gaulle to lift his veto on British membership. He then reported back to Macmillan, who told the Cabinet: "On the question of whether the U.K. should join the Common Market, de Gaulle told Mr Kennedy of his grave doubts over Commonwealth trading links and the political difficulties Britain would face in acceding to the Treaty of Rome... The General has no wish whatsoever to see the U.K. in the Common Market."

The spineless, chinless wonders of the British Government did what came naturally. They crawled and begged to be admitted. Thirty Tory M.P.s (nearly three times as many as the Maastricht rebels) signed a

motion deploring the derogation of sovereignty which would inevitably result from entry to the Common Market. **The Times** (1/1/92) reports: "Ministers in Cabinet acknowledged that admission to the Market would inevitably lead to such erosion." (How many times have Tory Ministers repeated the lie that E.C. membership would not involve any loss of sovereignty?) **The Times** report goes on: "Macmillan was fighting on a number of fronts: backbench opposition to membership was an embarrassment, public reaction was equivocal, and the Commonwealth states feared the loss of a vital market. Cabinet Ministers were dispatched to mollify Commonwealth heads of government. The papers showed that their efforts failed, particularly in Australia, New Zealand and Canada."

The Cabinet Ministers' tour was a signal that Britain intended to betray her daughter nations and commit what A.K. Chesterton called "Common Market suicide". The process of betrayal continues as U.K. trade with her daughter nations is systematically cut each year in favour of Greek, Irish, Italian and French peasants.

ECHOES OF SIR OSWALD

THE proposal by a correspondent in **The Times** of 22nd November last year to solve the Northern Ireland problem by large scale population movements leading to a separation of the two religious communities was earlier suggested by Sir Oswald Mosley. Mosley argued that a smaller Protestant Northern Ireland would be easier to defend in the short to medium term. In the long term the whole of Ireland would be united under a European central government with guarantees of civil liberties for all its citizens.

While this may seem on the face of it an attractive policy, in reality it would be disastrous. It assumes that there is a firm division of the people of Northern Ireland into two religious communities, and that the majority of Catholics wish to become citizens of the Irish Republic. Neither of these assumptions is correct.

The social attitudes survey published on 21st November showed that only 25 per cent of Northern Ireland's total population want to abolish the border, and that more Britons want troops to be withdrawn than do Catholics in Northern Ireland. Similarly, more Britons (i.e. mainland British citizens) want Irish unity than do Northern Irish Catholics. A third of the latter say unequivocally that they believe the Union should be maintained. The assumption that all Catholics are Irish nationalists and that all Protestants are Loyalists is thus an example of the narrow, stereotyped thinking which has dominated Westminster policy on Northern Ireland for far too long.

THE CURSE OF SECTARIANISM

THE tragedy of Northern Ireland politics is of the same nature. The Unionist parties have closely identified themselves with Protestantism. The S.D.L.P. has a Catholic identity. The Unionists have lost more from this sectarianism by failing to provide an outlet for the political expression of the substantial Catholic Unionist sentiment in Northern Ireland. Indeed, an on-going part of the Irish problem is that loyal Catholics are alienated by the extreme Protestantism of Unionists like the D.U.P., who strike one as being more interested in their Calvinist variety of Protestantism than they

are in maintaining the Union. Very few Catholics will vote for an M.P. who dons an orange sash and marches on the twelfth of July.

Northern Ireland would benefit from the development of non-sectarian political parties. Such development has been made more difficult by the instability and constitutional uncertainty which the Conservative Party at Westminster has introduced with the Anglo-Irish Agreement. The destabilising effect of this inequitable Agreement will continue until it is repealed or replaced. The Conservative Party in Northern Ireland is hampered by its identification in the mind of the electorate there with the Party which introduced and maintains the Agreement. The Labour Party refuses to allow Northern Ireland electors to vote for it as a matter of principle.

Large-scale population transfers on a sectarian basis would intensify sectarianism. They would damage for years to come the harmony which at present exists between the vast majority of Northern Ireland's people. To take one example, the City of Londonderry, with a 60% Catholic and 40% Protestant population and an S.D.L.P. Council, enjoys relatively good inter-communal relations. There is a strong local identity, Londonderry is right on the border and would be an obvious candidate for transfer to the Irish Republic. But if we take the one-third of Catholic residents who, according to the social attitudes survey, wish to remain within the Union (an under-estimate of those Catholics who do not wish to be transferred to the Irish Republic) and add them to the largely Unionist Protestant population, it is highly likely that there would be a majority in favour of leaving the Union.

There are many other border communities with small Catholic or Protestant majorities. All would be severely damaged by any attempt to force them out of the United Kingdom.

NEW ZEALAND BETRAYED AGAIN

BRITAIN'S betrayal of New Zealand is highlighted by the latest episode in the Rainbow Warrior spy scandal. In 1985 the French Secret Service blew up the Rainbow Warrior, a Greenpeace ship lying in Auckland Harbour. It was in the habit of sailing into French Pacific nuclear test zones, with the object of preventing the French from carrying out nuclear tests in the area. Most countries in the South Pacific strongly object to nuclear testing in their vicinity.

If the French tests had proceeded as planned the Rainbow Warrior and its crew would have been atomised. Nobody could have legitimately complained at such an outcome. If I give notice to all concerned that I intend to burn a bonfire in my garden, even though my garden is 12,000 miles away, I cannot be held responsible for a neighbour who wilfully immolates himself in my bonfire. But instead of doing the sensible thing, the French blew up the ship in Auckland Harbour, killing a Portguese journalist in the process.

The Economist (30/11/91) summarised what happened next: "Two of the French agents were caught at the time and sentenced to ten years in prison. They were subsequently handed over to the French by the New Zealand Government on the understanding that they would complete their sentences on a French atoll. In fact they were soon set free and returned to France to a hero's welcome; one was decorated."

A third French agent, Gerald Andries, was arrested on an Interpol warrant in Switzerland on 23rd November last year. The French Government put pressure on New Zealand not to extradite Mr Andries. They warned that France would exact retribution against New Zealand in the current N.Z.-E.C. trade negotiations if he were extradited. **The Economist** asked: "Would France really try to blackmail New Zealand in such a crude manner? And if so, would France's partners in the E.C. be so spineless as to let it? New Zealanders have no doubt that the answer to both questions is yes."

On 18th December **The Daily Telegraph** reported that Mr Andries had indeed been set free. The N.Z. Minister for Justice, Douglas Graham, said, "We were warned that Mr Andries' extradition could harm New Zealand's trade with France and the E.C." The French have clearly got the measure of our craven apology for a British Government which, in its insane and shameful determination to absorb us into a European Leviathan, did absolutely nothing to prevent this brazen bullying of our kith and kin.

MORE FROM LUCIFER'S LEXICON

THE December issue of **Candour** contained a review of L.A. Rollins' **Lucifer's Lexicon**. We understand that Mr Rollins is continuing to write new entries for an expanded edition or sequel. Here are a few of these previously unpublished entries:

Abortion. Feminist application of the principle that might makes right.
Bigot. A bogey. Enlightened, progressive parents now frighten their children by telling them a bigot is going to get them.
Diversity. A compelling reason for compulsory conformity and uniformity of thought and speech.
Land Mines. Booby traps for baby troops.
Operation Desert Storm. A big guy beating up a little guy. A war for Big Oil and Greater Israel.
Politically Correct. Right by virtue of being left.
Surgical Strike. An accidental bombing of a hospital operating room.
White Supemacist. Anyone so designated by a Rainbow Supremacist.

HAMMERING AT TRUTHS

"IT is always worthwhile, I think, to hammer at truths which one knows to be important, even those which seem to others, at their first statement, mere nonsense. For though you may die under the imputation of being a man without sense of proportion, or even a madman, yet reality will in time confirm your effort. And even though that confirmation of your effort, the triumph of truth, should never be associated with your name, yet it is worth making for the sake of truth, to which I am sure we owe a sort of allegiance: not because it is the truth - one can never have an allegiance to an abstraction - but because whenever we insist upon truth we are witnessing to Almighty God."

HILAIRE BELLOC, **The Cruise of the Nona.**

Read SPEARHEAD. Edited by John Tyndall and published in support of the B.N.P. Sample 90p (£11 p.a.) from P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

'VOLKSTAAT' - OR WHITE SOUTH AFRICA?

What is the future for Whites in South Africa? Some patriotic groups now speak of fighting for a Boer enclave. In this article, AFRIKANER REALIST questions the viability of such an option for the survival of the White Man.

THERE are a number of South Africans and people abroad who have apparently fallen under the spell of a proposed Volkstaat or Boerestaat, which represents the secessionist urge promoted by some right-wing groups in South Africa to create a White or Afrikaner homeland. It is said that this represents right-wing thought in S.A. and that rightists desire nothing other than a "return" to the political independence of the former Boer republics, the Transvaal and Orange Free State, or the secession of some other part of the present republic.

Different groups have different views of what constitutes the ideal Volkstaat and different geographical borders are proposed. The AWB and the Boerestaat Party view the Transvaal and OFS, together with northern Natal, as the central element. One group receiving disproportionate publicity is that of the Afrikaner Vryheidstigting (Afrikaner Freedom Foundation) under the leadership of Prof Carel Boshoff, whose Volkstaat would be centred in the hot, dry and isolated north-western Cape Province. The AVS is hardly any more than a private company, consisting only of the trustees of the foundation.

A Narrow Bit Here, A Major Part There!

Apart from these there is the Boere Vryheidsbeweging (Boer Freedom Movement) led by some former AWB members. They propose a narrow strip running from Pretoria through the Free State down to the Cape Province. Then there is the Oranje Werkers, who are an offshoot of SABRA and are committed to a scheme comprising the major part of Eastern Transvaal. There is also the group led by Mr Koos van der Merwe of the Conservative Party, commonly considered to be the representative of the left flank of that party. He is also the protagonist of negotiations with the ANC and SA Communist Party, with whom he is known to have had several discussions!

The Volkstaat idea is being advocated as the only alternative to a Black majority government. This, of course, is grist to the mill of the left, as their main aim is to create a state of mind among the Whites that the political battle is lost and that all that remains is to get the "winning side" to concede some territory to the Whites on moral grounds. What this means is that the Whites, who at present are in completely legitimate political control of South Africa, must abandon this internationally recognised basis of power, and from a politically powerless position plead their cause with enemies who in advance reject the entire idea of a divided South Africa.

There is an ironic twist to this. The main criticism against the de Klerk government is that he is surrendering White political power and land to Blacks. Yet, precisely on this point, the secessionist groups' plans to a certain extent resemble that of de Klerk in that, although not prepared to accept Black rule over the whole country, they are contemplating giving the major part of the country to the Blacks by abdicating their right to it in exchange for a certain portion somewhere.

There are only two ways to secede from the Republic of South Africa. One is unilaterally, involving the probability of armed conflict, as in the case of the American Civil War. The other is through negotiation and agreement. Some secessionist groups have conditionally declared themselves in favour of this method, including Prof Boshoff, Koos van der Merwe and Piet Rudolph (while he was in detention). This would involve submitting proposals for the Whites to be granted some small territory while conceding all their own rights to the larger South Africa. Of course, whatever territory is indicated, it would exclude other proposals for a Volkstaat and the left would have all the fun playing off one group against another. They are anxious to get Afrikaners to the negotiation table [yes, as we say, "negotiation means surrender"! - Editor] in order to create the illusion that the Boers have made their peace with the ANC/SACP. And de Klerk is afraid that if the Afrikaners stayed away, the government would be stamped as the traitors and sell-outs.

In fact, there is not the slightest possibility of a secessionist plan being acceded to. And once a party enters into negotiations, inevitably it has to stop the fight and wait for the outcome. This means that its supporters must drop their arms, suspend their activities and trust to the success of negotiations. Failure at the negotiation table would mean that resistance would have to restart again from a severely weakened moral basis. As everyone knows, to restart a fight after it has been suspended is almost impossible.

Long-Term Disadvantages

In the unlikely event of a White homeland materialising, a formidable challenge would arise to establish its viability and independence in a hostile environment. If racial discrimination is practised in determining citizenship, it would be attacked on the same grounds as South Africa is being attacked now. The enemies of the Whites are not interested in moral issues, wanting to destroy White rule in South Africa as in Rhodesia and South-West Africa. In their scenario the Whites must be humiliated and tramped down in order to submit to Black rule.

A Volkstaat would be an "island-laager". There would be nothing to prevent incidents from being provoked on the Volkstaat's vulnerable borders. Under any sort of pretext, the mounting of offensives with international connivance could lead to desperate violence for survival. Sanctions and a blockade would be a quite simple matter. The initiators of the Volkstaat idea have clearly not thought it through to the end. In a sense it is an escape from political reality and is a strong signal to the enemy that the Whites are gradually losing the will to fight for what they are and what they have. The Herstigte National Party (HNP) represents the complete opposite frame of mind.

The HNP's position is that the establishment of a seceded Volkstaat would cause a split right through the Afrikaner nation. A limited number of Afrikaners and other Whites would be prepared to risk settlement in a small homeland to escape racial integration, but the majority, who have permanent positions, businesses or farms, would not move. The weakening of the cultural bonds and political unity would jeopardise the survival potential of both groups. The survival of Afrikaans as a language would also be jeopardised. Whatever its merits, the Volkstaat implies acceptance of betrayal by a renegade government and defeat by a communist-run terrorist organisation.

The essence of the South African situation is simply that the Whites, with the Afrikaner people as the core, are not a remnant of a past colonial era, but a nation rooted in the African soil and with every right to rule the country settled and developed by them. They tamed the wilderness, cultivated the land and built the towns and cities. They came to their part of South Africa at practically the same time as the Black tribes settled in their parts. The Whites made South Africa the strongest single entity on the African continent, while the Blacks in their "independent" parts are struggling to maintain a subsistence economy. To surrender South Africa, or the greater part of the country, to the Blacks would lead to the same economic disaster as in other African countries.

It must be remembered that all the talk of the "Black majority" is completely unrealistic. There is not one Black nation, but a diversity of Black ethnic and national groups - for centuries the Xosa and Zulu nations have been at each other's throats. There is no way in which to create a new nation out of the racial, cultural and religious diversity in South Africa. The only way in which to create peaceful and stable conditions is to grant to each Black group the right to a form of home rule in the territory in which it is settled. Whites must ensure control of key areas such as foreign policy or defence remain in their responsible hands, while exerting complete sovereignty over the rest of the South African territory.

Political power at present is still in White hands and this is the key to the future. The call in the present situation is for a consolidated Afrikanerdom, working in co-operation with the rest of the White community. It still has the power and the potential to intensify its patriotism and inspire those presently demoralised and estranged, and to muster everything necessary to oppose the genocidal war against them. All means and all energies should be directed at this goal in a solid national front. Secession by one section of the nation would eliminate this possibility for ever. Instead of dissipating effort in the promotion of policies that bypass the immediate crisis, all patriotic resources and energies should be concentrated on stopping the big business-inspired treason of de Klerk.

A CAPITAL IDEA

"EACH time there is a fresh terrorist initiative, we hear of many suggestions for remedies, but the only effective deterrent is repeatedly dismissed: restoring capital punishment. I do not know if the death penalty is morally justifiable, and it is certainly repugnant. But it is the one measure that terrorists respect. De Valera stopped the IRA's activities in the Republic of Ireland for a whole generation by hanging the IRA. It may have made martyrs of a few, but it sure stopped the many in their tracks.

"There is another consideration: without capital punishment, people take the law into their own hands. A new generation of 'Loyalist' terrorists are 'executing' Republican sympathisers without trial by jury. Execution gangs roam Ulster because the state will not do its duty. The death penalty cannot easily be justified in principle, but without it, we are doomed to stand by and watch infinitely more innocent lives being lost."

MARY KENNY, **Sunday Telegraph**, 12/1/92

NEWS STORIES YOU WILL NEVER READ

Time brings all things, we are told. Time will bring many things, no doubt, but we may be certain, says NOEL HUNT, that it will not bring any of the imaginary news items sketched below

ISRAEL TO REPAY HOLOCAUST REPARATIONS

IN the Knesset today the Prime Minister of Israel announced that his country would repay to Germany and to individuals all sums paid to Israel as reparations for the Holocaust.

Questioned as to whether this was an admission that revisionist historians were correct in holding that the Holocaust had never happened, the P.M. declined to be drawn. Asked whether it was now conceded that there had never been death camps, he replied, "No comment."

In Hollywood work has ceased on an epic based on the horrors of the death camps and stressing the weight of guilt for them borne by all in the West.

*

AFTER THE CRICKET TEST

WE have heard much lately of the cricket test of a decent chap. There is now to be another touchstone, it seems. An all-party Parliamentary report published today advocates what it has called The Hastings Test. In a recommendation that is certain to spark controversy in the run-up to the election the Commission recommends the abolition of universal suffrage and its replacement by the new test. To pass it potential voters would have to satisfy examiners that their male parents on both sides of the family could have stood in the shield-wall round King Harold at Hastings.

The Commission for Racial Equality was quick to condemn the proposal as "fascist, racist, chauvinist and insensitive," as were ethnic minorities and self-appointed "community leaders". Black Power spokesmen called on people of goodwill everywhere to "stamp out this evil suggestion". Fury flared when a representative of the British National Party remarked, "A good idea..."

*

"SPANISH CUSTOMS" - FULL LIST

IN a break with tradition, a Government Minister today gave a full list of restrictive work practices - the so-called "Spanish Customs" - which have been built up over many years by Prison Service staff. The Minister stated that union insistence that these practices be fully observed made it difficult to change working conditions or to improve prisons generally, whether for staff or for inmates. Amid gales of laughter and expressions of incredulity the Minister outlined the "customs" in detail.

A Prison Staff spokesman said at a hastily summoned press conference: "Excellent reasons exist for the continuation and even the extension of each and every one of these practices." Asked if the "excellent reasons" were not, in fact, a determination to use the maximum possible number of staff at the maximum possible level of overtime, he replied, "No comment."

*

COMMUNIST MANIFESTO SPURNED

A RIGHT-WING think tank has recommended sweeping changes to in-

come tax law. In a statement set to trigger controversy in the run-up to the election, the report advocates the immediate introduction of a flat rate of income tax to replace the present system of graduated rates.

The report says: "The Communist Manifesto of 1848, written by Marx and Engels, recommended the introduction of a graduated income tax as one of the surest methods of spreading socialism. No other reason than the Marx-Engels dictum has ever been thought necessary to justify the system.

The report continues: "Graduated rates of taxation penalise the competent, fail to provide an incentive for the idle and positively discourage excellence." It asks "If a man, by using his brains, energy and skills, earns half a million a year, why on earth is he more heavily taxed than the average? He is a national asset and it might be thought good to exempt him totally while he is so productive."

Socialist bodies, carers and the Whingers' Association were quick to distance themselves from the Report. One talking head defined it as "a recipe for disaster". Asked why this was so, he replied, "If you cannot see this for yourself, there is no point in continuing this discussion."

The report says: "The person who should be taxed more heavily is he who takes from the common stock without contributing anything in return. He is a liability and a burden on the taxpayer. In principle, every person should pay something into the common funds." Many of the caring professions and the professional poor were quick to distance themselves from the report, describing it as "a despicable attempt to bring back the poll tax through the back door."

Speaking at a press conference day, a representative of the Whingers' Association said, "We must ensure the rejection of this evil report. It cuts across that great principle, part of our national heritage since the 1920s, 'from each according to his ability; to each according to his need'." Mr Facing-Bothways M.P. remarked that "there was much to be said on both sides of this great debate..."

*

AIDS VICTIMS TO BE SEGREGATED

IN a report that will be fiercely debated, an all-party Parliamentary Commission today recommends the segregation of AIDS victims. Stating that the incidence of AIDS had now reached epidemic proportions the Commission concludes that for the safety of society as a whole, segregation is the only choice available to the Government.

Furious Gay Rights activists, together with sodomists and catamites, demonstrated outside Parliament today. They drew hostile reactions from a large crowd apparently in favour of the recommendation. All the main political parties welcomed the report, which is described as "long overdue", and promised to press for early action.

*

UNIONS BAN UNDER-EMPLOYMENT AND SHODDY WORK

A JOINT statement by all trade unions marks a fundamental departure from established practice. In future union members who are required to turn out shoddy or slipshod work will at once mark their disapproval by taking industrial action. Industrial action is union-speak to describe the change from the normal comatose state of the union member to the totally catatonic, used as a

means of showing disapproval.

In another departure from accepted norms, union members who find themselves either under-worked or not fully stretched throughout every shift will immediately strike.

A union spokesman said, "The lads on the shop floor are determined to have their way on this. This is one which won't go away. I have never seen the lads so determined before." A spokesman for an employers' association, calling for the maximum publicity for the statement, said, "We suggest telling it to the Marines..."

*

UNION RESTRICTIVE PRACTICES - FULL LIST

IN an unprecedented move, employers' associations have leaked to the press a full list of restrictive practices insisted on by unions.

Fury flared among unions at the news. A T.U.C. spokesman said, "This is a truly shocking breach of confidence. There are, of course, no restrictive measures on the shop floor. Our sole aim is, and always has been, to give the public the best possible service while ensuring the safety of our lads on the shop-floor. What to the layman may seem to be restrictive practices all exist for very good reasons." Asked whether these were not, in fact, designed to ensure that as many union members as possible were employed for as long as possible, he declined to comment.

The list has aroused widespread interest and hilarity. Several stand-up comics have used it as source material, and there is talk of a television comedy based on the practices.

CANDOUR NEEDS MORE SUBSCRIBERS!
HAVE YOU SECURED ONE YET?

EUTHANASIA & THE EUROPEAN BANK

More From Attali The Hun

THERE is growing pressure throughout Europe and the United States to legalise euthanasia. It is therefore alarming to discover that Jacques Attali, personal adviser to President Mitterand of France and President of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, newly established in London, holds the following views:

"As soon as he goes beyond 60-65 years of age, man lives longer than his capacity to produce, and he then costs society a lot of money... Indeed, from society's point of view, it is preferable that the human machine should stop suddenly, rather than face progressive deterioration... Euthanasia will become one of the essential instruments of our future societies in any case. In the logic of socialism, to begin with, the problem must be presented as follows: the socialist logic is freedom, and the fundalogic is suicide; therefore the right to suicide either directly or indirectly is an absolute value in this type of society."

The above extract is taken from the book **L'avenir de la Vie**, published in Paris. Other comments from Europe's New Unhappy Lord are to be found on page 2 of the January 1991 issue of **Candour**

BELLOC ON GROWING OLD

ALL you that feel youth slipping past you and that are desolate at the approach of age, be merry; it is not what it looks like from in front and from outside. There is a glory in all completion, and all good endings are but shining transitions. There will come a sharp moment of revelation when you shall bless the effect of time.

WHY COLLEGES BREED COMMUNISM

ROSINE de BOUNEVIALLE re-examines A. N. Field's classic work on the evolution hoax and explains why it is as threadbare as Hans Anderson's famous emperor!

IT is not wonderful that a patriot of the eminence of the New Zealander, the late A.N. Field, should have produced this masterly exposition of the roots of revolution, but it may be a cause for wonder that it was already overdue when it was first published in 1941. The underground network has by now given sustenance to such rank growth so far from its starting point that all patriots of goodwill and commonsense will find it extremely interesting and probably enlightening to discover or uncover the seemingly remote source of the ills our modern flesh is heir to. Here, it is traced from the frenetic mobs of screaming students through their Marx-fed teachers and sodomy and abortion voting clerics back to Teilhard de Chardin, George Bernard Shaw, the Webbs, Julian Huxley, Karl Marx and Uncle Charles Darwin and all; back to - evolution.

The theory of evolution, planted by an ambitious naturalist, expounded as an incontrovertible fact and drummed into every subsequent generation of mostly defenceless students, is shown by A.N. Field to be bred by atheism out of ignorance; to be nourished, propagated and supported by a mass of suppositions, half-truths and whole-lies put forward by self-styled experts on "evidence" largely conspicuous for its absence or for its basis in some inconveniently forgotten fraud.

From this "fact" of evolution which, we are told, "only cranks do not recognise," grows the whole poisonous acreage that feeds the pundits of T.V. and radio. Thus say the "experts" has society evolved with the "inevitability of gradualness" through dialectical materialism from plasm in the primeval mud to the intellectual elite of the London School of Economics. There's progress for you! And if for some of us it remains difficult to discern any significant difference between the sad-eyed, hairy-faced portrait of a thigh-bone, designated Pithecanthropus, and the wild-eyed, hairy-faced "intellectual", designated Student Militant, that does not worry the eager philosophers of the New Left bent on building a plastic jungle where the yet-to-be-discovered missing link may be expected to feel at home.

This excellent book provides at bargain price all the information one needs to refute the pretentious nonsense poured out by professors which is rapidly making Marxist morons of many who might otherwise develop into the useful citizens our mis-governed country badly needs.

The Evolution Hoax Exposed by A.N. Field is available for £3 from Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD

OTHER BOOKS FROM FOREST HOUSE

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS by A.K. Chesterton: £4
FACING THE ABYSS by A.K. Chesterton: £3
COMMON MARKET SUICIDE/B.B.C. A NATIONAL MENACE by A.K. Chesterton: £1
MEMORIAL TO A.K. CHESTERTON compiled by Moyna Traill-Smith: £2
THE HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY by Dr Arthur Butz: £4
TRADITIONALIST'S ANTHOLOGY by Elizabeth Lady Freeman: £9

THE REAL TRUTH FROM GLASNOST

PETER WORTHINGTON asks what happened to 1½ million German P.O.W.s

When British authorities in Hong Kong began dragging Vietnamese refugees kicking and screaming on to Hercules aircraft to forcibly return them to North Vietnam, British Labor MP David Young protested: "This is a betrayal of British tradition."

Sadly, he was wrong. Forced repatriation is very much a British tradition.

It reached its obscene peak at the end of World War II when a few million people were sent back to Stalin's USSR, and some 70,000 anti-communist Croations and Serbs were delivered to Tito, who promptly shot them -- some with help from British officers.

Forced repatriation has been called (by Solzhenitsyn) the "last secret" of World War II. This is wrong, too. More likely the "last secret" is what the Americans did -- or, by neglect, didn't do -- to German soldiers who surrendered after the war.

Unlike the British and Canadians who treated all defenceless enemy troops as prisoners of war (POWs), Gen. Dwight Eisenhower, as Allied Supreme Commander, ordered that the POW designation be changed to DEF (Disarmed Enemy Forces), thereby technically freeing U.S. troops from adhering to the Geneva Convention with regards to POWs.

Toronto author James Bacque caused a furor when his book, *Other Losses*, came out a couple of years ago.

Through careful analysis of German and American wartime records, Bacque determined that perhaps a million German soldiers died of neglect -- exposure, starvation, disease, -- in unsheltered American prison compounds *after* the war was over.

Some American historians who initially praised Bacque's research as a "major historical find" have since recanted and declared it nonsense, especially his contention that Eisenhower was so filled with anti-German venom he wanted Germans to continue dying after the fighting ceased.

While one can dispute Bacque's finding about Eisenhower (and even this is difficult when one scans the evidence), what is beyond dispute is the fact that our side unnecessarily and callously killed a lot of young German soldiers after the war.

It is not stretching things too far to suggest that American policy constitutes a war crime of major proportions.

Bacque's book has caused controversy in Britian, France even Germany itself. CBC-TV got into the act with as slanted a documentary as I've seen (a CBC speciality) which implied that Bacque was a fraud who invented his thesis, and was probably a neo-Nazi, white supremacist or anti-Semitic right wing nut. (Bacque has since had a book published about a hero of the French underground, which is an unlikely topic for a supposed Nazi sympathizer).

Controversy and mystery have long surrounded the one to two million missing German prisoners from World War II. Until Bacque's book -- and even after -- our side insisted that the Russians had them, and probably killed them. The Kremlin denied all allegations which, to some, was proof of Soviet guilt.

Now glasnost has entered the dispute. The Soviets have opened their wartime records. The German publication *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung* reported that the Soviets held nearly 2.38 million German prisoners, of whom 350,000 died in captivity. Close to two million POWs were eventually returned to Germany.

The Allies held over nine million German troops -- of whom 1.5 million were confirmed as simply vanishing.

A commission of inquiry under former chancellor Konrad Adenauer, who was pro-American, determined this minimum figure, and speculated that the true number was closer to two million missing. It seems unlikely they all fled to Brazil.

Since Bacque's book, an enormous number of former prisoners and Allied soldiers have come forward with personal accounts of the postwar treatment -- where rations were 850 calories a day, no shelter provided and civilians forbidden to feed men penned in hundreds of American and French compounds.

Bacque includes many testimonials in a new edition of his book. He has also turned up a military directive to German civilians at the end of the war that states: "Under no circumstances may food supplies be delivered to prisoners of war by local inhabitants ... those who violate this directive risk being shot."

Eyewitnesses tell of civilians being shot for throwing food to starving prisoners. Now mass graves are being discovered. American records simply list the missing as "other losses" (the book's title), and these extrapolate into over a million missing Germans.

Just as glasnost is revealing a distressing truth about our side's treatment of prisoners of war *after* the war, so glasnost threatens to reveal details of forced repatriation to Yugoslavia, that author Nikolai Tolstoy has written about in several books.

Now bankrupt from a libel suit by Lord Aldington, whom he claimed was involved in the British policy, Tolstoy may yet be vindicated by history. New evidence has turned up concerning British complicity.

Tolstoy, Bacque and truth all hope to benefit from glasnost. That won't help the Vietnamese in Hong Kong being forcibly repatriated to Hanoi and God-knows-what.

But it's further evidence that war crimes are not restricted to the losers, but can be covered up and disguised as being a humane manifestation of justice. ❑

Other Losses by James Bacque is available in paperback for £5.06, including postage, from B.N.P. Book Service, 154 Upper Wickham Lane, Welling, Kent DA16 3DP.

HOODWINKED INTO HIGH TREASON

HOW many people know, or care, whether we are in the E.E.C. or E.C.? Complacency may be understandable, but is far from being justified. As if from out of the blue, Britain's patrimony is under threat: our independence, our laws, our liberty and character as a people, even our Parliament and Monarchy. We have been hoodwinked about "Europe's" intentions. What was presented as the "Common Market", as economic co-operation, is in fact political radicalism, far advanced. The founders of the Market, having laboured for decades to achieve their aim of federalising the nation states of Europe, will not give up easily. Full awareness of their intentions demands a proper perspective.

Britain does not have to go down this road, but to prevent it the British must bestir themselves. A newly-published booklet by Derek Tozer, a faithful ally of **Candour**, outlines the dangers which threaten us a nation and suggests ways of meeting this crisis in our history.

The Bilderbergers And Mrs Thatcher

Early in his narrative he introduces the reader to the Bilderberg Group, one of the key mechanisms in the conspiratorial bureaucracy that shaped the post-war world. Quoting George McGhee, a former U.S. Ambassador to West Germany, we read, "The Treaty of Rome, which brought the Common Market into being, was nurtured at Bilderberg meetings." Although Mr Tozer then links another Bilderberg meeting in May 1989 at La Toja to the onslaught that subsequently brought about Mrs Thatcher's removal and cleared the road for Maastricht, we should never forget that the same Margaret Hilda Thatcher was present at the Bilderberg meeting in Turkey in 1975 - an occasion she shared with Denis Healey. This was shortly before Mrs Thatcher became the star of the Tory epic that put the confidence in the con-trick that imposed the Single European Act on our Parliament and Monarchy.

The author, however, gives Mrs Thatcher the benefit of the doubt, believing that in her statement on the B.B.C. on 27 June 1989 she genuinely glimpsed the looming danger of a federal Europe when she said that it would "arrogate more power to a central body, a committee of twelve bankers". Perhaps, perhaps... Nevertheless, to look for any effective opposition in the Tory ranks against the loss of self-government enthusiastically subscribed to by that same party is to keep the British people inert in the face of national demolition. As predicted in this journal's leading article of January 1989, nothing is more likely than that Mrs Thatcher will be "safely, even luxuriously, pensioned off...when the Final Act is final in name as well as deed."

The question of Margaret Thatcher's integrity aside, Derek Tozer's booklet is one of the best short statements we have read of the scheme to fill our national plot in Europe's Common Graveyard. The vast majority of the electorate, sadly, has yet to be weaned off the media milk delivered daily. If this pamphlet causes a few more of them to become uneasy or even outraged about the manner of our national surrender, then it deserves the widest possible distribution.

The "Common Market" E.E.C./E.C. Con-Trick by Derek Tozer is available from Portman Papers, 20 Portmans, North Curry, Taunton, Somerset TA3 6NL. Price: one copy £1.10, 2 or more copies £1 each post paid.

REJOICE! REJOICE!

WE have received the following letter from one of our readers:

"YOU will know better than most that **Candour** was formed to combat the menace of International Finance. Now that Mrs Thatcher has been sacked for opposing the will of Money, Major has signed what Money wants, and South Africa will shortly be Azania as Money wishes, not to mention what is happening in Eastern Europe, does it not seem that we have lost the battle?

"In just a few years since 1945 we have seen the White Man driven out of his worldwide bases, restricted to his heartlands in Europe, America, Canada, Australia, etc. and there being bred out of existence by massive coloured immigration - all with the active co-operation and support of the very leaders who should be opposing this abdication.

"Is this too gloomy a view, I wonder? In the need to make changes to bring about the world state, the enormously powerful forces of race have been unleashed; with consequences, as Peter Simple would say, which no man can forsee. The plan may go wrong, as it seems to have done in the Balkans, so perhaps 'the end is not yet'. I am not suggesting that **Candour** pack up, but wonder if we should not think about a change of diection?"

Oh yes, you <u>are</u> too gloomy! 1992 doesn't look as if it holds much to cheer about, but in 199<u>3</u> Candour will enjoy two anniversaries. It will be 40 years in October 1993 since A.K. Chesterton founded our viewsletter and it will be 20 years ago in August 1993 since we took up the challenge of editing Candour ourselves following A.K.'s death.

So there is plenty to celebrate! And we certainly do <u>not</u> intend to pack up. It is something to have "survived" as our Holohoax friends very well know. Why should they have all the Parties?

RULE BY DUTCH AUCTION

"THREE cheers for Nicholas Farrell for suggesting that the vote should be restricted to those with some minimum intelligence qualifications and/or a proprietary stake in society, once defined as 'the forty-shilling freeholder'. The householder, as the modern equivalent, could include flatdwellers responsible for their own rentals and service charges. The logical end result of universal adult suffrage without minimum qualifications is rule by the lowest common denominator, and this is exactly what we have got. The next election is already shaping up to be a Dutch auction in which the winner will be the party that promises the biggest hand-outs to the greatest number of non-contributors to the national kitty."

Letter in **The Sunday Telegraph**, 10/11/91

<u>CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES</u>

U.K. £10 OVERSEAS £12 U.S. $25 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

Please make cheques payable to THE A.K. CHESTERTON TRUST

Printed and published by the Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD. [Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle]